

Video Sharing in Online Social Networks using P2P

N. Veeranjanyulu¹, E. Karunakar²

¹M.Tech (CSE), NRI Institute of Technology, A.P., India.

²Asst. Professor, Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering, NRI Institute of Technology, A.P., India.

Abstract: Video sharing has been increasingly gaining importance in Online Social Networks. On the other hand, its maintainable improvement is extremely blocked by the inherent furthest reaches of the customer/server building design sent in present OSN feature frameworks, which is not just excessive regarding server data transfer capacity and capacity additionally not adaptable with the taking off measure of clients and feature content. The associate supported Video-on-Demand (Vod) strategy, in which taking part associates aid the server in conveying feature content has been proposed as of late. Lamentably, features must be scattered through companions in Osns. Along these lines, current Vod lives up to expectations that investigate grouping hubs with comparative premiums or close area for superior are problematic, if not by any means inapplicable, in Osns.

Key Words: Video-on-demand (VoD), On-line social networks, Peer-to-peer networks

INTRODUCTION

Social Media has got an exponential growth. Facebook, Twitter are now among the most popular sites on the Web. An OSN gives a capable method for creating social associations and imparting, arranging, and discovering substance. Case in point, Facebook without further ado has in excess of 500 million clients. Dissimilar to current document or feature offering frameworks (e.g., Bittorrent and Youtube), which are chiefly composed around substance, Osns are sorted out around clients. OSN clients make kinship relations with true companions or virtual companions, and post their profiles and substance, for example, photographs, features, and notes to their individual pages. Feature offering have been an inexorably prominent application in Osns, empowering clients to impart their individual features

or fascinating features they found with their companions. To be sure, as per comscore Releases in August 2010, Facebook is presently the second-biggest online feature seeing stage. The aggregate time used on feature seeing on Facebook expanded 1,840% year-over-year, from 34.9 million minutes in October 2008 to 677.0 million minutes in October 2009. Amid the same time period, the quantity of novel feature viewer expanded by 548% and aggregate number of streams developed by 987% [1]. The late fast improvement of OSN feature imparting applications represents the advancement of Osns from just correspondence centered devices to a media portal. Osns are changing from a stage for making up for lost time with companions to a venue for individual declaration and for offering a full mixture of substance and data. Lately, much exertion has been dedicated to enhancing the customer/server building design for feature imparting, with the shared (P2p) structural planning being the most guaranteeing. P2P-based feature offering has been utilized within on interest feature streaming. With each one companion helping its data transfer capacity to serving others, the P2p building design gives high versatility to extensive client bases.

In late research, P2p Vod administration has progressively gotten consideration. A few on interest P2p feature organizations have been proposed through broad dissemination and functionalities of the Vod administrations examination [2]-[4]. In addition, there is likewise a large number of notices installment models (i.e., cost-for every activity, cost-for every click, cost-for every impression, cost-for every download, and expense for every guest) in feature on-interest or promoting underpinned Vod channels that are, no doubt conveyed [4]. Also, web feature ads units could be put some time recently, amid and/or after interest feature content in the P2p Vod administration. Two real classes of web feature notice units were in-page promotion units and in-

stream commercial units [5]. In-page notice units are feature ads installed in a web search tool's results page, which contains query items and recovery of ad following. In-stream notice units could be inside streaming feature content or played on the ad window. As a rule, the length of web feature ads is between 17 seconds and 4 minutes. For in-page notice units, the most widely recognized play length is 30 seconds and 15 seconds [6]. In any case, 40-second web feature ads were indicated to be the best in pulling in target groups of onlookers on the system [7].

RELATED WORK

Several approaches have been proposed for automation of shopping mall. However most of them focused on one aspect of the problem. In [1] Peer-to-peer (P2P) content distribution is able to greatly reduce dependence on infrastructure servers and scale up to the demand of the Internet video era. However, the rapid growth of P2P applications has also created immense burden on service providers by generating significant ISP-unfriendly traffic, such as cross-ISP and inter-POP traffic In [8], Video-on-demand in the Internet has become an immensely popular service in recent years. But due to its high bandwidth requirements and popularity, it is also a costly service to provide. We consider the design and potential benefits of peer-assisted video-on-demand, in which participating peers assist the server in delivering VoD content. In [9], Video-on-Demand streaming on Peer-to-Peer(P2P) networks has been an emerging technique in recent years. This paper presents a systematic literature review of P2P VOD networking In [4], pared to P2P live streaming, due to higher peer dynamics and less buffer overlap. The situation is further complicated when we consider the selfish nature of peers, who in general wish to download more and upload less, unless otherwise motivated In [5] : In nowadays network group, the Peer-To-Peer (P2P) network is exploring as a good environment for resource sharing over the Internet. Compared with traditional file sharing workloads, continuous streaming of multimedia content provokes a significant amount of today's internet traffic.

FACEBOOK MEASUREMENT AND ANALYSIS

In this area, we introduce our Facebook follow estimation comes about and give an inside and out point of view of Facebook feature review designs, which demonstrates the need of companion aid in OSN feature imparting and gives a heading to the configuration of a P2p feature offering framework in Osns. We utilized broadness first-see [10] to question in excess of 1,000,000 clients seeded by 5 clients in the USA. With a specific end goal to abstain from over-burdening the Facebook, we sent a question to Facebook each 5s. We can just see the feature exercises of the clients who are companions or Fofs of the crawler and the clients that picked "everybody" as their feature access choice. In view of this right to gain entrance limit, we just found around 2,500 features and 12,000 clients who viewed these features amid the time period from Jul. 2007 to Aug. 2010, which is utilized as a specimen for the feature imparting and viewing exercises. The gathered dataset incorporates the data about client companionship relations, investments, areas, and features transferred and imparted by clients. For every feature, we recovered the feature metadata, for example, its title, length, and viewers when accessible. To admiration the security of the clients, we anonymized the client names before putting away the information in our database. We just crept the feature metadata of these clients, with other individual data untouched.

Social Network based P2P Overlay Construction

Algorithm

To identify followers and non-followers of a source node for structure construction, SocialTube pre-defines two thresholds, Thand Tl, for the percent of videos in thesource node that a viewer has watched during a timeunit, say one week. If the percent value of a vieweris $\geq Th$, the viewer is a follower. If the percent is $Tl < x \leq Th$, the viewer is a non-follower. Video sharing in Facebook distinguishes itself fromother video sharing websites (e.g., YouTube) in twoaspects: video sharing scope and video watching incentives.First, other websites provide system-wide videosharing where a user can

watch any video, while in Facebook, videos are usually shared in a 2-hop small circle of friends (I1). Second, users in other video sharing websites are driven to watch videos by interests, while in Facebook, the followers of a source node (i.e., video owner) are driven to watch almost all of the source's videos primarily by social relationship, and non-followers watch a certain amount of videos mainly driven by interest (I2). According to these differentiating aspects, we design the P2P overlay structure, which is shown in Figure .Based on I1, SocialTube establishes a per-node (in contrast to per-video in YouTube) P2P overlay for each source node. It consists of peers within 2 hops to the source that watch at least a certain percentage ($>T1$) of the source's videos. Other peers can still fetch videos from the server. As shown in the figure, such peers of a source node S in the social network constitute a P2P overlay for the source node. We aim to achieve an optimal tradeoff between P2P overlay maintenance costs and video sharing efficiency. Some nodes within 2 hops may watch only a few videos in a source. Including these nodes and users beyond 2-hops into the overlay generates a greater structure maintenance cost than video sharing benefits. Based on I2, we build a hierarchical structure that connects a source node with its socially-close followers, and connects the followers with other non-followers. Thus, the followers can quickly receive chunks from the source node, and also function as a pseudo-source to distribute chunks to other friends. The source pushes the first chunk of its new video to its followers. The chunk is cached in each follower and has high probability of being used since followers watch almost all videos of the source. Further, non-followers sharing the same interest are grouped into an interest cluster for video sharing. We call peers in an interest cluster interest-cluster-peers. A node that has multiple interests is in multiple interest clusters of the source node. Because the source node and followers are involved in every interest cluster for providing video content, we call




Fig: Social Tube Structure

the group formed by the source, followers, and interest cluster-peers in an interest cluster swarm, and call all nodes in a swarm swarm-peers. As I1 indicates, the cluster size of each interest cluster should be small. Therefore, in order to reduce delay, physically close interest-cluster-peers are randomly connected with each other. The peers find their physically close peers based on their ISP, subnet information [11]. To preserve the privacy protection on OSN, we can add a constraint in which peer A can connect to peer B only when peer A is peer B's friend or can access peer B's shared videos. In Figure 13, the viewers of S form into two swarms. Because the nodes in each swarm have a high probability of owning chunks of the same video, they can retrieve chunks from their swarm-peers without relying on querying the server or large scale query flooding.

Social Network based Prefetching Algorithm

To reduce the video startup latency, we propose a push-based video prefetching mechanism in SocialTube. In SocialTube, when a source node uploads a new video to the server, it also pushes the prefix (i.e. first chunk) of the video to its followers and to the interest-cluster peers

in the interest clusters matching the content of the video. The prefix receivers store the prefix in their cache. Those interest-cluster-peers and followers who are not online when the source node pushes the prefix will automatically receive it from the source node or the server once they come online. After the source node leaves, the responsibility to push the prefix falls to the server. Since these followers and interest-cluster-peers are very likely to watch the

video, the cached prefixes have a high probability of being used. Once the nodes request the videos, the locally stored prefix can be played immediately without delay. Meanwhile, the node tries to retrieve the remaining video chunks from its swarm-peers. Similar to BitTorrent, SocialTube allows a requester to request 4 online nodes at the same time to provide the video content in order to guarantee provider availability and achieve low delay by retrieving chunks in parallel. It first contacts interest cluster-peers, then followers, then the source node. The algorithm takes advantage of all resources for efficient video sharing without overloading specific nodes. The server can guarantee the availability of the video, even if the number of online users in a swarm is small [12].

CONCLUSION

Feature offering is an undeniably mainstream application in Osns. Then again, the customer/server construction modeling conveyed by present feature imparting frameworks in Osns costs a lot of assets (i.e. cash, server stockpiling) for the administration supplier and needs versatility. In the mean time, in view of the protection stipulations in Osns, the current associate supported Video-on-Demand (Vod) systems are problematic if not by any means relevant to the feature offering in Osns. In this paper, we creped feature viewing follow information in one of the biggest online informal community sites Facebook, from Jul. 2007 to Aug. 2010 and investigated the clients' feature review designs. We found that in a client's viewer assemble, 25% viewers viewed all features of the client determined by social relationship, and the survey example of the remaining hubs is determined by investment. Taking into account the watched social and investment relationship in feature viewing exercises, we propose Socialtube, which gives proficient P2p-aided feature imparting administrations. Far reaching reproduction results demonstrate that Socialtube can give a low feature startup post pone and low server movement request. We additionally executed a model in Planetlab to assess the execution of Socialtube. The test results from the model further affirm the proficiency of Socialtube .

REFERENCES

- [1] Facebook passes google in time spent on site for first time ever. <http://www.businessinsider.com/>.
- [2] Y. Huang, T. Z. Fu, D. Chiu, J. C. Lui, and C. Huang, "Challenges, Design and Analysis of a Large-scale P2P VoD Systems," ACMSIGCOMM, 2008.
- [3] Chao Zhang, P. Dhungel, Di Wu, and Keith W. Ross, "Unraveling the BitTorrent Ecosystem," IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems, vol. 22, no. 7, pp. 1164-1177, 2011.
- [4] C. Li and C. Chen, "Measurement-based study on the relation between users' watching behavior and network sharing in P2P VoD systems," Computer Networks, vol. 54, no. 1, pp. 13-27, 2010.
- [5] K. Berte, P. Vyncke, and E. De Bens, "Opportunities of interactive formats for innovative advertising on digital television," European Conference on Interactive Television, pp. 55-58, 2010.
- [6] R. E. Bruner and J. Singh, "Video Ad Benchmarks: Average Campaign Performance Metrics," A DoubleClick Research Report, February 2007.
- [7] S. Donohue. (2009). Ideal Length for Internet Video Ads: 40 Seconds. [Online]. Available: http://www.contentinople.com/author.asp?section_id=603&doc_id=177205
- [8] Social media, web 2.0 and internet stats. <http://thefuturebuzz.com/2009/01/12/social-media-web-20-internet-numbers-stats/>.
- [9] K. Wang and C. Lin. Insight into the P2P-VoD system: Performance modeling and analysis. In Proc. of ICCCN, 2009.
- [10] M. Gjoka, M. Kurant, C. T. Butts, and A. Markopoulou. Walking in facebook: A case study of unbiased sampling of osns. In Proc. of INFOCOM, 2010.

[11] H. Wang, J. Liu, and K. Xu. On the locality of bittorrent-based video file swarming. In Proc. of P2P, 2009.

[12] Haiying Shen, Ze Li, “SocialTube: P2P-assisted Video Sharing in Online Social Networks” IEEE transactions on parallel and distributed systems.